

# **Comparison of simple sequence repeats in 19 Archaea**

# S. Trivedi

Department of Zoology, JN Vyas University, Jodhpur (Rajastan), India Corresponding author: S. Trivedi E-mail: svtrived@hotmail.com

Genet. Mol. Res. 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) Received January 23, 2006 Accepted October 3, 2006 Published December 5, 2006

**ABSTRACT.** All organisms that have been studied until now have been found to have differential distribution of simple sequence repeats (SSRs), with more SSRs in intergenic than in coding sequences. SSR distribution was investigated in Archaea genomes where complete chromosome sequences of 19 Archaea were analyzed with the program SPUTNIK to find di- to penta-nucleotide repeats. The number of repeats was determined for the complete chromosome sequences and for the coding and non-coding sequences. Different from what has been found for other groups of organisms, there is an abundance of SSRs in coding regions of the genome of some Archaea. Dinucleotide repeats were rare and CG repeats were found in only two Archaea. In general, trinucleotide repeats are the most abundant SSR motifs; however, pentanucleotide repeats are abundant in some Archaea. Some of the tetranucleotide and pentanucleotide repeat motifs are organism specific. In general, repeats are short and CG-rich repeats are present in Archaea having a CG-rich genome. Among the 19 Archaea, SSR density was not correlated with genome size or with optimum growth temperature. Pentanucleotide density had an inverse correlation with the CG content of the genome.

**Key words:** CG content, Microsatellites, SSRs, Hyperthermophiles, Thermophiles, Optimum growth temperature

# INTRODUCTION

Microsatellites, also named simple sequence repeats (SSRs), are widespread throughout eukaryote, prokaryote and virus genomes. SSR frequency and distribution are species and motif specific (Karlin et al., 1997; Bachtrog et al., 1999, 2000; Butcher et al., 2000; Crollius et al., 2000; Metzgar et al., 2000; Toth et al., 2000; Gentles and Karlin, 2001; Morgante et al., 2002). Mechanisms for SSR genesis include transpositions, insertions, horizontal gene transfer, recombination and repair, in addition to slippage during replication (Primmer and Ellegren, 1998; Hancock and Santibanez-Koref, 1998; Hartenstine et al., 2000; Chambers and MacAvoy, 2000; Schlotterer, 2000; Jakupciak and Wells, 2000; Zhu et al., 2000; Alba et al., 1999a,b, 2001). However, repeat expansions may be orientation or strand specific and may be independent of the efficiency of the repair system (Morel et al., 1998; Cleary et al., 2002). Since there appears to be similarity in these findings in prokaryotes and eukaryotes, the method of repeat generation apparently has not changed with time (Achaz et al., 2002). Comparative SSR distribution in the Archaea has not been studied in detail until now; studies have been restricted to repeats other than SSRs (Cox and Mirkin, 1997; Karlin et al., 1997; Smith et al., 1997; Fitz-Gibbon et al., 2002). Study of SSR profile in the Archaea is appealing, because they are enigmatic organisms occupying diverse habitats, including extreme environments. Although they have similarities with eubacteria and eukaryotes, the presence of unique features in the Archaea has maintained the debate over whether they are intermediates or ancestors of both pro- and eukaryotes (Woese et al., 1990; Doolittle, 1995; Zlatanova, 1997; Makarova and Koonin, 2003). The genome sequence data that are now available make it possible to conduct a comparative analysis of SSRs. SSR density and motif types in complete sequences of the main chromosome of 19 Archaea were examined and compared.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The main chromosome sequences of 19 Archaea (Table 1) were downloaded from the NCBI database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Complete chromosome sequences were used for analysis, without removing r-RNA or t-RNA sequences. Plasmid sequences were not included in the analysis. Tandem repeat tracts (dinucleotide to pentanucleotide) were obtained by analyzing complete sequences with the program "SPUTNIK" (C. Abajian, University of Washington, http://www.abajian.com/sputnik) with some modifications to accommodate the analysis of larger sequences, which was not possible with the original version. More recently, the site link to SPUTNIK changed to http://espressosoftware.com/pages/sputnik.jsp, after this study was completed.

The density of SSRs was calculated by dividing the number of SSRs by the total sequence length of the main chromosome. Density in the coding (m-RNA, t-RNA and r-RNA) and non-coding regions was calculated by dividing the number of SSRs by the total coding sequence and intergenic sequence lengths, respectively. Total coding sequences were taken from protein and RNA tables of the NCBI database for each organism. Overlapping sequences in coding regions were removed for calculating the total coding sequences. The term "Horizon" applies to SSRs found partially in the intergenic region and partially in the coding region and because of uncertainty whether they lie in untranscribed or untranslated regions (UTR). These SSRs were considered in the calculation of total SSR density but not in coding and intergenic

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

Organism	Chromosome size (bp)	Environment group	CG-genome (%)	OGT (°C)
Aeropyrum pernix K1	1 669 695	Hyperthermonhile	56 31	93.5
Archaeoglobus fulgidus DSM 4304	2 178 400	Hyperthermophile	48 58	83
Halobacterium sp NRC-1	2,014,239	Thermophile	67.91	50
Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum DH	1,751,377	Thermophile	49.54	67.5
Methanococcus jannaschii	1,664,970	Hyperthermophile	31.43	85
Methanococcus maripaludis	1,661,137	Mesophile	33.1	40
Methanopyrus kandleri AV19	1,694,969	Hyperthermophile	61.16	98
Methanosarcina acetivorans C2A	5,751,492	Mesophile	42.68	35
Methanosarcina mazei strain Goel	4,096,345	Mesophile	41.48	35
Nanoarchaeum equitans	490,885	Hyperthermophile	31.56	90
Picrophilus torridus	1,545,900	Thermophile	35.97	60
Pyrobaculum aerophilum	2,222,430	Hyperthermophile	51.36	100
Pyrococcus abyssi	1,765,118	Hyperthermophile	44.71	96
Pyrococcus furiosus DSM 3638	1,908,256	Hyperthermophile	40.77	100
Pyrococcus horikoshii	1,738,505	Hyperthermophile	41.88	98
Sulfolobus solfataricus	2,992,245	Hyperthermophile	35.79	87
Sulfolobus tokodaii	2,694,756	Hyperthermophile	32.79	80
Thermoplasma acidophilum	1,564,906	Thermophile	45.99	59
Thermoplasma volcanium	1,584,804	Thermophile	39.92	60

Tabel 1. List of Archaea, with chromosome size, environment group and optimum growth temperature (OGT).

regions. I only included simple sequence repeats, classified as microsatellites.

The search was also limited to di-, tri-, tetra- and pentanucleotide repeats. All possible combinations of repeats were grouped together. For example, (AC)n, (CA)n, (GT)n, and (TG)n in the case of dinucleotide repeats and (CCG)n, (GCC)n, (CGG)n, and (GGC)n in the case of trinucleotide repeats were grouped together, even though these groupings may result in losing information about amino acid preferences in the coding region. To determine repeat length, the total number of base pairs of an SSR was counted. For example, for the dinucleotide CG repeat "CGCGCGCGCGCGCG", the length was calculated as 12 bp. The average total length thus obtained for each motif and repeat class was used for statistical analysis.

Pearson correlation analysis (two-tailed) using Microsoft Excel functions was used to examine the correlation of SSR density with chromosome size, CG content of the genome, optimum growth temperature (OGT), repeat length, and repeat CG richness. Archaea OGTs were obtained from the Prokaryotic Growth Temperature database "http://pgtdb.csie.ncu.edu.tw/" (Table 1). *Archaeoglobus fulgidus*, which was classified as a thermophile in the database, was grouped as a hyperthermophile in the present study because the OGT lies in this range.

# RESULTS

## Density of SSR and most common motifs in the genome

The complete main chromosome sequences (henceforth referred to as genomes) of 19 Archaea revealed diversity in the distribution of SSRs, with densities ranging from 0.1504/kbp in

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

*Halobacterium* to 0.0059/kbp in *M. kandleri* (Appendix 1 and Figure 1). Among SSRs, trinucleotide repeats (ACG, CAG, CGC, CCG, and AGC in particular) have the highest density (Appendix 1). SSRs are present in coding and non-coding regions of all Archaea. *Archaeoglobus fulgidus*, *M. kandleri*, *P. abyssi*, *P. horikoshii*, and *Halobacterium* have higher densities of repeats in coding sequences than in non-coding regions (Figure 2).



Figure 1. Total simple sequence repeat (SSR) density in Archaea genome.

Dinucleotide repeats were found in most of the Archaea genomes, except in *A. pernix*, *A. fulgidus*, *M. maripaludis*, *N. equitans*, *P. furiosus*, and *P. horikoshii* and in the coding regions of *M. thermoautotrophicum* (Appendixes 1 and 2 and Figures 3 and 4). Dinucleotide repeats were found to be abundant in non-coding regions as compared to coding regions (Appendix 2 and Figures 4 and 5). AT repeats were most common, followed by AG repeats in the total genome as well as in coding and non-coding regions. AC repeats were not common and CG repeats were rare.

Trinucleotide repeats in the total genome outnumbered all other repeats in almost all of the Archaea genomes, except *M. jannaschii*, *P. abyssi*, *P. furiosus*, *P. horikoshii*, and *M. maripaludis*, where pentanucleotide repeats were more frequent than trinucleotide repeats (Figure 3). Most of the Archaea genomes have AAT and AAG mofits. Coding regions of most Archaea have AAG mofit and non-coding regions have AAT mofits (Appendixes 1 and 3). In coding regions, AAT was the most abundant repeat in *N. equitans*, *P. torridus* and *S. solfataricus*. AAG was the most abundant repeat in *M. thermoautotrophicum*, *M.* 

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

Archaea simple sequence repeats



Figure 2. Total simple sequence repeat (SSR) density in coding and non-coding regions in Archaea genome.



Figure 3. Total simple sequence repeat (SSR), di-, tri-, tetra- and pentanucleotide densities in Archaea genome.

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br







ž



Figure 5. Total di-, tri-, tetra- and pentanucleotide simple sequence repeat (SSR) density in non-coding regions.

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

#### Archaea simple sequence repeats

maripaludis, M. acetivorans, M. mazei, P. abyssi, P. horikoshii, S. tokodaii, T. acidophilum, and T. volcanium. AGC in Halobacterium, AGA in M. jannaschii, AGG in M. kandleri, CCG in P. aerophilum, and ATC in P. furiosus were the most abundant repeats. Trinucleotide repeats were not present in non-coding regions of M. thermoautotrophicum, M. kandleri, P. abyssi, and P. horikoshii (Appendix 3 and Figure 5). In non-coding regions, only the ATC repeat was present in A. fulgidus, ATA in N. equitans and AAT in P. furiosus intergenic regions. CTC was the most abundant repeat in A. pernix and P. aerophilum, ACT in T. volcanium and CGC in Halobacterium. AAT was the most abundant repeat in M. jannaschii, M. mazei, P. torridus, S. solfataricus, and S. tokodaii. ATA was the most abundant repeat in M. maripaludis, M. acetivorans and T. acidophilum.

Tetranucleotide repeats were found in the total genome of all Archaea except *T. acidophilum* (Appendix 4 and Figure 3). Species-specific repeats include AACT, AATG, AGGC, and AATC in *M. mazei*, AAGC and ACAT in *A. fulgidus*, AGCG and AGGG in *A. pernix*, AGTC and CATG in *M. acetivorans*, ATTG, CCCG, CGAG, CGGC, and CACG in *Halobacterium*, and CAAG and CAGG in *P. furiosus*. These motifs and their respective densities are not shown in Appendixes 1 and 4. AAAT and AAGA were most common repeats, but some motifs that were found in the total genome were not present in coding regions (Appendixes 1 and 4). AACT, AATC, AATG, ACAT, ATAG, ATTA, ATTG, and CATG were absent in the coding sequences of all Archaea. Tetranucleotide repeats were not found in intergenic sequences of *A. fulgidus*, *M. kandleri*, *N. equitans*, *P. abyssi*, *P. furiosus*, and *P. horikoshii* (Appendix 4 and Figure 5).

All nineteen Archaea were found to have pentanucleotide repeats in the total genome and coding regions, with diverse pattern prevalences (Appendixes 1 and 5 and Figures 3 and 4). Out of 84 repeat motifs, AAAAG was common but 58 were Archaea-specific (densities not shown in Table 2). The pentanucleotide density in coding regions of *P. furiosus*, *P. horikoshii* and *M. maripaludis* was higher than that of trinucleotide repeats (Figure 4). Non-coding regions of *A. pernix*, *N. equitans* and *P. torridus* did not have pentanucleotide repeats (Figure 5).

#### Horizon region

SSRs were found partially in coding and partially in intergenic regions of 14 Archaea, among which the most frequent occurrence of SSRs (seven) was in *M. jannaschii* (Figure 6). Dinucleotides were not found in the overlapping regions. *Halobacterium, M. kandleri, P. horikoshii, T. acidophilum,* and *T. volcanium* did not have horizon SSRs.

## CG richness of SSRs

CG richness of total SSRs, di-, tri- and penta-nucleotide repeats was highest in *Halobacterium*. Total SSRs and pentanucleotide repeats had lowest CG richness in *M. maripaludis*. CG richness of di- and tetranucleotide repeats was lowest in *S. tokodaii*, and *N. equitans* had the fewest trinucleotide repeats. Since *M. kandleri* had only one tetranucleotide repeat (CCGG), it shows 100% CG richness. However, *Halobacterium* had the second highest CG richness (Table 3).

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

 Table 2. Archaea-specific pentanucleotide repeat motifs.

A. pernix	ACCCC	AGTAG	CGATC		
A. fulgidus	AGACG	AGCTC	AGGCG		
Halobacterium	ACGCC	ACGGC	CACCC	CCCGC	
	CCCGG	CGCAG	CGCCG	CCGCG	
	CGCGC				
M. thermoautotrophicum	AGCCC	ATCTG	CCACC	CCATC	
	CAGTC	CCGTC			
M. jannaschii	AATAC	ACTCT	CAATG	CGAAG	
M. maripaludis	AAGAC	AATTG	ATTAC		
M. kandleri	AAGTG	CACGG	CCCTC		
M. acetivorans	AAGGT	ACTGC	AGCAG	ATTCC	
	CCTGC	ATTTC			
M. mazei	ACAGG	AGATA	AGCGA	ATCAT	
	CAGCG				
P. torridus	AACAC	AGTAT			
P. aerophilum	CCTCC				
P. abyssi	AACCT	ACATC			
P. horikoshii	AAGGG	AGATC	CAAGG		
S. solfataricus	AGTTC				
S. tokodaii	AATCA	ACAAT	ATACG	ATTGG	
	ATTAG				
T. acidophilum	CTCTC				
T. volcanium	AAATC				



Figure 6. Simple sequence repeats (SSRs) in horizon region of Archaea.

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

Organism	Total SSR	Dinucleotide	Trinucleotide	Tetranucleotide	Pentanucleotide
A. pernix	60.66	0	56.76	65.63	67.5
A. fulgidus	54.03	0	53.97	50	55.56
Halobacterium	82.3	87.5	80.97	90	87.14
M. thermoautotrophicum	48.77	0	44.44	29.17	65.45
M. jannaschii	14.9	10	24.36	9.21	13.51
M. maripaludis	13.84	0	30.95	0	8.57
M. kandleri	65.79	0	75	100	60
M. acetivorans	31.73	14.29	37.75	40	24.29
M. mazei	26.93	27.78	31.41	26.14	23.03
N. equitans	14.08	0	12.82	25	10
P. torridus	15.27	0	18.18	15	11.67
P. abyssi	35.9	50	28.57	35	40
P. horikoshii	33.61	0	33.33	37.5	32.86
P. furiosus	33.85	0	38.89	50	25.71
P. aerophilum	59.81	31.25	69.05	56.25	42.86
S. solfataricus	19.95	0	26.54	11.67	18.18
S. tokodaii	18.94	5.56	27.78	8.33	16.36
T. acidophilum	25.35	16.67	24.44	0	30
T. volcanium	22	16.67	27.78	20	18

Table 3. CG richness of simple sequence repeats (SSRs) and motifs in percentage.

#### **Repeat length**

Repeats were generally not long in Archaea, as the average minimum repeat length was 13 bases and the maximum was 20.63 bases (Table 4). Exceptions include *M. mazei* [164 (AATA), 138 (AAT), 60 (AAAT), 52 (AATG), and 51 (AAG and ATA) bases] and *M. thermoautotrophicum* (AGC 39 bp long), which have long repeats. The maximum dinucleotide repeat was 20 bases (AT in *T. acidophilum*). The minimum di-, tri- and tetra-nucleotide repeat lengths were 12 bases. The minimum pentanucleotide repeat length was 15 bases, but long repeats (35 bases) were found in *Halobacterium* (CGCAG), *M. thermoautotrophicum* (CAGTC), *M. maripaludis* (AAAAT), *M. acetivorans* (ATTTC), and *M. acetivorans* (AAATA).

## DISCUSSION

The finding of 167 repeat motifs indicates that SSRs are not rare in Archaea genomes. These repeats show species-specific characteristic distributions, as has been reported for many other organisms (Toth et al., 2000; Rocha and Blanchard, 2002). Although repeat patterns have been previously studied in Archaea sequences (Morris et al., 1986; Smith et al., 1997; Rocha et al., 1999), it was not possible to compare those studies with the present one due to the fact that incomplete sequences were available at that time or there were differences in the sequence length analyzed and stringent length criteria for repeats in those studies.

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

C	<b>m</b> ·	1.
N	1 11V	edi
υ.	1111	cui

Organism	Dinue	cleotide	Trinu	Trinucleotide		ucleotide	Pentan	ucleotide	Total	
	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average
A. pernix	0	0	12-27	12.97	12-16	13.5	15-20	15.63	12-27	13.45
A. fulgidus	0	0	12	12	12-20	14	15	15	12-20	13.03
Halobacterium	12	12	12-27	13.16	12-20	12.6	15-35	16.79	12-35	13.26
M. thermoautotrophicum	12	12	12-39	13.33	12-16	12.67	15-35	17.27	12-39	14.18
M. jannaschii	12	12	12-21	13.62	12-16	13.05	15-25	15.27	12-25	14.1
M. maripaludis	0	0	12-18	13.07	12	12	15-35	17.14	12-35	15.24
M. kandleri	12	12	12-18	13.5	12	12	15	15	12-18	13.8
M. acetivorans	12-18	12.71	12-33	13.72	12-28	13.92	15-35	17.38	12-35	14.69
M. mazei	12	12	12-138	20.19	12-164	31.82	15-25	16.21	12-164	20.63
N. equitans	0	0	12	12	12-20	14.67	15	15	12-20	13
P. torridus	12	12	12-21	12.45	12-20	14	15	15	12-21	13.25
P. aerophilum	12-14	12.75	12-24	12.86	12-16	13.5	15-20	15.71	12-24	13.23
P. abyssi	12	12	12-15	12.43	12	12	15-25	16.43	12-25	13.7
P. furiosus	0	0	12-21	12.75	12	12	15	15	12-21	13.59
P. horikoshii	0	0	12-24	13.64	12	12	15	15	12-24	14.07
S. solfataricus	12-16	12.86	12-18	12.22	12-16	12.27	15	15	12-18	13.11
S. tokodaii	12-14	12.22	12-21	12.83	12-20	12.67	15	15	12-21	13.39
T. acidophilum	12-20	14.67	12-15	12.2	0	0	15	15	12-20	13.05
T. volcanium	12	12	12	12	12-20	13.6	15	15	12-20	13.12

# Table 4. Repeat length average (bp) and length range (bp) in Archaea

## **Dinucleotide repeats**

Abundant dinucleotide repeats were not found in the Archaea. This is similar to findings for other organisms (Karlin et al., 1997; Toth et al., 2000). AT repeats were found to be more frequent than CA/TG repeats in the Archaea, which is consistent with studies in *P. aerophilum* and Sulfolobus (Karlin et al., 1997), Aves genome and plants (Primmer et al., 1997; Toth et al., 2000; Morgante et al., 2002). However, it is contrary to findings in prokaryote and eukaryote sequences (Campbell et al., 1999) and in Arabidopsis thaliana (Morgante et al., 2002). Karlin et al. (1997) suggest that AT repeats have the ability to form less thermodynamically stable DNA duplexes, which are preferred sites for cleavage by RNAase in mRNA and could lead to inappropriate binding of regulatory proteins. Possibly, these could be the reasons for absence of TA repeats in some Archaea. Paradoxically, AT repeats could be responsible for increasing DNA flexibility and association with histone-like proteins, resulting in their playing important roles in gene regulation and chromatin folding (Okonogi et al., 2000). It remains to be investigated whether AT repeats play similar roles in Archaea. CG repeats are abundant only in Halobacterium, similar to what was found by Karlin et al. (1997). The absence of CG repeats in most Archaea cannot be due to methylation-driven mutations alone (Wang et al., 2004). This is because Drosophila, animal mitochondria and *Neurospora* lack methylase activity and yet TA are more common than CG repeats. Therefore, CG dinucleotide deficiency could be due to selective advantage, given structural constraints related to high stacking energy and chromatin packing (Karlin et al., 1997; Lerat et al., 2002) or to avoid blocking of transcription (Morris et al., 1986).

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

## **Trinucleotide repeats**

An abundance of trinucleotide repeats was found, which could be due to mechanisms that suppress nontrimeric repeats (Metzgar et al., 2000). The most common repeats in Archaea are AAT, AAG and AGC, which corroborates the reported abundance of AAG repeats in plants and AGC in animals but contrary to the finding of rare AAT repeats in monocots (Varshney et al., 2002; Thiel et al., 2003). CAG and CCG repeats were found in seven Archaea, despite the fact that they are known to be highly unstable in many organisms (Moore et al., 1999; Jakupciak and Wells, 2000; Ireland et al., 2001; Hashem et al., 2002). The abundance of CAG repeats in Archaea-coding regions could be due to the influence of encoded amino acids (Alba et al., 1999a,b; Varshney et al., 2002; Thiel et al., 2003). CCG repeats are present in Archaea having >40% genome CG content. This could be due to the influence of the high CG content of their genomes (Morgante et al., 2002; Varshney et al., 2002; Thiel et al., 2003). CCG, ACA, CAC, and GGA repeats may be associated with protein folding/solubility, nucleosome proteins and stress response (Godde and Wolffe, 1996; Grayling et al., 1997; Mishima et al., 1997; Pereira et al., 1997; Reeve et al., 1997; Pereira and Reeve, 1998; Satyal et al., 2000; Sandman and Reeve, 2000, 2001). Green and Wang (1994) report that some trinucleotide repeats may be important for adding new coding regions, new functions to proteins, or for increasing the size of proteins. The latter may not be true in the case of thermophilic and hyperthermophilic Archaea, as the protein size is generally small in thermophiles (Chakravarty and Varadarajan, 2000; Hickey and Singer, 2004). The absence of some repeats, such as GGA, CCG, in some Archaea, in addition to the absence of ACA, CAC and CAG repeats in non-coding regions of all Archaea but presence in coding sequences, is an interesting feature.

# Tetranucleotide and pentanucleotide repeats

Tetranucleotide repeats are not abundant in Archaea, but unlike in *Escherichia coli* (Rocha et al., 2002), they are not underrepresented. Exceptions are *M. kandleri* and *T. acidophilum*, which have one and no tetranucleotide repeats, respectively. Underrepresentation of CTAG, CATC and GTAC in *Halobacterium* and *M. jannaschii* agrees with the findings of Karlin et al. (1997). However, they reported a normal presence of these repeats in *Sulfolobus*, while none was found in the present study. Although tetra- and pentanucleotide repeat densities are more abundant in non-coding regions compared to coding regions, in some Archaea these repeats are absent in non-coding sequences. Pentanucleotide repeats in Archaea show characteristic distributions as diverse as in other organisms (Toth et al., 2000; Gur-Arie et al., 2000; Lim et al., 2004).

## Coding and non-coding regions

Generally, repeats are more abundant in non-coding regions (Primmer et al., 1997; Primmer and Ellegren, 1998; Bachtrog et al., 1999; Elgar et al., 1999; Crollius et al., 2000; Gur-Arie et al., 2000; Dokholyan et al., 2000; Toth et al., 2000; Katti et al., 2001) to avoid the ill effects of repeat stability in coding regions (Schlotterer, 1998; Hancock and Santibanez-Koref, 1998; Harr et al., 1998, 2000; Ellegren, 2000; Chambers and MacAvoy, 2000; Dokholyan et al., 2000). Earlier studies suggested no functional roles of SSRs due to their presence in pseudogenes and intergenic sequences of *P. aerophilum* (Fitz-Gibbon et al., 2002). Abundance of repeats in

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

coding regions of some Archaea is contrary to these findings, and it is evident that *Bacillus subtilis* (Rocha et al., 1999) is not exceptional in having abundance of SSRs in coding sequences. Trinucleotide repeats are abundant in coding regions in Archaea, like most organisms studied so far, because they may leave reading frames unperturbed (Karlin et al., 1997; Subramanian et al., 2003). However, an abundance of tetra- and pentanucleotide repeats was also found in coding sequences as well as their absence in non-coding sequences of many Archaea. Therefore, it is possible that SSRs are tolerated in coding regions because their variations affect not only gene expression but also adaptation to environmental factors in prokaryotes (see review by Li et al., 2004).

It is known that the factors that affect preferential distribution of repeats in non-coding and coding regions work differentially in all organisms and the bias is marked in eukaryotes (Cox and Mirkin, 1997; Marcotte et al., 1999). Is it because the known or yet unknown functions of repeats in prokaryote (Archaea in particular)-coding regions are not important in higher organisms? It is possible that coding regions in eukaryotes do not have as many sites for insertions as in prokaryotes, or that there is little tolerance for integrations and hence fewer SSRs. This is because repeat genesis may be a result of insertional events due to transposons and virus (Ogura et al., 1994; Ramsay et al., 2000; Cardle et al., 2000; Lerat et al., 2002). However, why Archaea would tolerate SSRs in coding sequences remains uninvestigated, except for the fact the amino acid reiterations affect protein stability (Gromiha et al., 2002; de Farias and Bonato, 2002; Chakravarty and Varadarajan, 2002; Farias and Bonato, 2003), which would be an essential requirement for extremophilic Archaea. It would be a fruitful exercise to investigate common repeat motifs in prokaryotes and eukaryotes, and genes associated with SSRs to study the fate of specific patterns and coding regions that have retained or lost repeats in eukaryotes. In this light, genes related to DNA repair, recombination and adaptations to different types of stress (Rocha et al., 2002), genes associated with t-RNA, r-RNA, DNA repair and replication, gonads, silk glands and development in Bombyx mori (silkworm) have a high density of SSRs (Trivedi, 2003).

#### Horizon region

Dinucleotide repeats were found to be absent in the horizon region, different from the abundance of AG repeats in 5'UTR of plants and 3'UTR of catfish and the abundance of SSRs in UTR compared to coding sequences. Since SSRs are not preferred in the UTR in Archaea, they could not be important for gene regulation or silencing, protein adaptations, and transcription slippage, which results in long m-RNAs in other organisms (Stallings, 1995; Wren et al., 2000; Morgante et al., 2002).

## Genome size, SSR density and optimum growth temperature

Nineteen Archaea, hyperthermophiles and thermophiles showed no correlation of OGT with either total SSR or motif density in genome, coding and non-coding regions. Similarly, total SSR and motif densities showed no correlation with genome size. However, a trend was seen in thermophiles, where pentanucleotide repeats correlated positively with genome size ('r' = 0.8374; P < 0.05); this should be examined when other thermophiles are considered for such analysis. The absence of correlation with genome size is consistent with earlier studies (Hancock, 2002;

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

#### Archaea simple sequence repeats

Lim et al., 2004). However, it is contrary to reports of a positive correlation between genome size and SSR density, the contribution of repeats to increased genome size and the C-value paradox in various organisms (Hancock, 1996a; Primmer et al., 1997; Achaz et al., 2002; Trivedi, 2004). Paradoxically, *N. equitans* has the smallest genome size but has the fourth-highest SSR density. If there was a reduction in genome size of this organism, it may not have been due to SSR elimination, even if repeats may be superfluous, as suggested for *Mycoplasma genitalium* (Hancock, 1996b). SSRs in Archaea of a given environmental group in the present study corroborate with the observation that chromosomes of related organisms generally have similar repeat densities. The exceptions to this indicate differences in repair mechanisms or selection pressures, or both (Achaz et al., 2002), leading to differences in SSR evolution and density in genomes of different sizes (Trivedi, 2004; Lim et al., 2004). This study raises questions about whether an increase in genome complexity should be attributed to SSRs, because, at least in prokaryotes, repeats constitute a low percentage of the total genome (as found here); other factors in addition to tandem repeats may be responsible for the increase in genome size (Hancock, 2002).

## Genome size and optimum growth temperature correlation with repeat length

The genome size was not correlated with average repeat lengths of SSRs in the Archaea. However, the trends to be watched in the future are a positive correlation of total SSRs and trinucleotide average repeat lengths ('r' = 0.495 and 0.498; P < 0.05, respectively) and maximum repeat lengths (data not shown; r = 0.4738; P < 0.05) in 19 Archaea. Further studies may confirm whether motif-specific increase in repeat length in Archaea corroborates studies reported for other organisms (Harr et al., 2002). Short repeat lengths were generally found in fungal genome, where long repeats in large genomes are exceptions rather than the rule, also found by Lim et al. (2004). For example, M. acetivorans has the largest genome size, but has a maximum repeat length of 37 bases, but *M. mazei*, which has the second largest genome size, has a maximum repeat length of 164 bases. Although coding sequences generally have few long repeats (Dokholyan et al., 2000; Morgante et al., 2002), they are present in M. mazei AAT repeat (137 bases) coding sequences of conserved protein, ATA (52 bases) in transcriptional regulator and ArsR family, and AAG (50 bases) in hypothetical protein. Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum coding sequences have AGC (39 bases) in ribosomal protein, in Halobacterium (CGCAG, 35 bases) and in M. maripaludis (AAAAT) conserved hypothetical protein.

There was no correlation of SSR average repeat length with OGT in the 19 Archaea and in the three environmental groups. However, total SSR and pentanucleotide repeats were inversely correlated ('r' = -0.513 and -0.574; P < 0.05) in all the Archaea species. Although this correlation was not highly significant, with analysis of more Archaea genomes it may be possible to confirm whether motif-dependent length variations in Archaea are due to differential mutation rates (Toth et al., 2000; Webster et al., 2002). It is also known that SSR motifs, tract type, genomic locations, and selection pressures may influence lengths that are dynamic and may increase in some species, while in others they may decrease (Bowater et al., 1997; Harr et al., 2002). However, from the present study it cannot be concluded whether repeats are unstable (expanding or reducing in size) in Archaea. If there is instability of repeats in Archaea, it could be due to lack of mismatch repair systems (Fitz-Gibbon et al., 2002).

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

## Genome CG content, SSR density and SSR CG richness

Archaea genomes revealed varying CG richness (Table 1). All repeat types in all Archaea, hyperthermophiles (except dinucleotides) and thermophiles (except di- and tetranucleotides) showed a positive correlation of CG richness of SSRs with CG richness of the genome (Table 5). There was no correlation of genome CG content with total SSR and total motif density, except an inverse correlation of pentanucleotide repeats ('r' = -0.621 and -0.604; P < 0.05) in genome and coding regions ('r' = -0.832; P< 0.005). However, the trends to be watched in the future are a positive correlation of trinucleotide density in genome and coding regions ('r' = 0.493 and 0.524; P < 0.05). Hyperthermophiles had an inverse correlation of total SSR, tetra- and pentanucleotides ('r' = -0.6406, -0.6701 and -0.7394, respectively; P < 0.05) in the genome. Trinucleotides in thermophiles showed a positive correlation ('r' = 0.8371, 0.8494; P < 0.05) in the total genome and in coding regions. With availability of more Archaea genome sequences, it may be confirmed whether with increasing CG content of the genome, the density of some SSR motifs increases, as in fungal genomes (Lim et al., 2004). It may confirm whether nucleotide composition of the genome influences some repeat types and possibly their generation and amplification (Achaz et al., 2002) in Archaea. Correlation analysis shows that OGT has no influence on CG richness of SSR in total genome, coding and intergenic sequences in all Archaea, and in hyperthermophiles, thermophiles and mesophiles, analyzed separately.

Table 5. Correlation	Table 5. Correlation of genome CG richness with simple sequence repeat (SSR) CG richness.													
	All Ar (d.f. =	All Archaea (d.f. = 17)		rmophiles = 10)	Mesoj (d.f.	philes = 1)	Thermophiles (d.f. = 4)							
	ʻr'	Р	ʻr'	Р	ʻr'	Р	ʻr'	Р						
Total SSR	0.9629	< 0.001	0.977	< 0.001	0.9892		0.9635	< 0.01						
Dinucleotides	0.504		0.0679		0.8128		0.862							
Trinucleotides	0.9029	< 0.001	0.9231	< 0.001	0.6434		0.9275	< 0.01						
Tetranucleotides	0.86	< 0.001	0.9266	< 0.001	0.9729		0.8766							
Pentanucleotides	0.9407	< 0.001	0.9511	< 0.001	0.9991	< 0.05	0.9413	< 0.01						

'r' = Pearson correlation coefficient value, d.f. = degrees of freedom.

Trends in mesophiles showed an inverse correlation of dinucleotides ('r' = -0.9993; P < 0.05) and a positive correlation of pentanucleotides ('r' = 0.9999; P < 0.001) with OGT in the total genome. The CG content of genome dinucleotide density showed a positive correlation ('r' = 0.9971; P < 0.05) in the total genome. An indication of inverse correlation of OGT with pentanucleotide density ('r' = 0.99949; P < 0.05) and tetranucleotide CG richness ('r' = 0.99905; P < 0.05) was also seen. Only pentanucleotide CG richness had a positive correlation with genome CG richness.

From the foregoing information, it is evident that SSRs are common in Archaea genomes, which may be due to certain advantages to the organisms and may be a "molecular device" for quick adaptations to environmental stress (Young et al., 2000; Li et al., 2002). The variations in distribution, abundance and motif preferences among Archaea could be due to

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

different adaptive strategies, as in other organisms (Rocha et al., 1999). It would therefore be interesting to investigate other stress factors, such as salinity, radiation and pressure, in addition to temperature and presence or absence of efficient repair and replication machinery to understand the reasons for these differences. For example, in *Halobacterium*, environmental stress may have resulted in a high density of SSRs, which might function as a source for the generation of genetic diversity, allowing the organism to better respond to a wide range of stress, due to salinity, UV radiation, oxygen, and nutrients (Kennedy et al., 2001).

## CONCLUSIONS

It is evident that unlike the other two domains, some Archaea have abundant SSRs in coding regions. There is preferential distribution of repeat motifs, and some motifs are organism specific. Few SSRs are present partially in intergenic and coding sequences. There was no correlation of SSR density with genome size, CG richness of genome or OGT. Similarly, OGT had no influence on CG richness or repeat length of SSRs. Analysis of more sequences from Archaea living in different environmental niches may help us to understand the influence of extreme conditions on SSRs. However, the significance of many of these repeats in Archaea is not known; further investigation may reveal an answer.

# REFERENCES

- Achaz G, Rocha EPC, Netter P and Coissac E (2002). Origin and fate of repeats in bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30: 2987-2994.
- Alba MM, Santibanez-Koref MF and Hancock JM (1999a). Amino acid reiterations in yeast are overrepresented in particular classes of proteins and show evidence of a slippage-like mutational process. J. Mol. Evol. 49: 789-797.
- Alba MM, Santibanez-Koref MF and Hancock JM (1999b). Conservation of polyglutamine tract size between mice and humans depends on codon interruption. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16: 1641-1644.
- Alba MM, Santibanez-Koref MF and Hancock JM (2001). The comparative genomics of polyglutamine repeats: extreme difference in the codon organization of repeat-encoding regions between mammals and *Drosophila*. J. Mol. Evol. 52: 249-259.
- Bachtrog D, Weiss S, Zangerl B, Brem G, et al. (1999). Distribution of dinucleotide microsatellites in the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16: 602-610.
- Bachtrog D, Agis M, Imhof M and Schlotterer C (2000). Microsatellite variability differs between dinucleotide repeat motifs - evidence from *Drosophila melanogaster*. Mol. Biol. Evol. 17: 1277-1285.
- Bowater RP, Jaworski A, Larson JE, Parniewski P, et al. (1997). Transcription increases the deletion frequency of long CTG.CAG triplet repeats from plasmids in *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25: 2861-2868.
- Butcher RD, Hubbard SF and Whitfield WG (2000). Microsatellite frequency and size variation in the parthenogenetic parasitic wasp *Venturia canescens* (Gravenhorst) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). *Insect Mol. Biol.* 9: 375-384.
- Campbell A, Mrazek J and Karlin S (1999). Genome signature comparisons among prokaryote, plasmid and mitochondrial DNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 96: 9184-9189.
- Cardle L, Ramasy L, Milbourne D, Macaulay M, et al. (2000). Computational and experimental characterization of physically clustered simple sequence repeats in plants. *Genetics* 156: 847-854.
- Chakravarty S and Varadarajan R (2000). Elucidation of determinants of protein stability through genome sequence analysis. *FEBS Lett.* 470: 65-69.
- Chakravarty S and Varadarajan R (2002). Elucidation of factors responsible for enhanced thermal stability of proteins: a structural genomics based study. *Biochemistry* 41: 8152-8161.
- Chambers KG and MacAvoy ES (2000). Microsatellites: consensus and controversy. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* (Part B). 126: 455-476.
- Cleary JD, Nichol K, Wang YH and Pearson CE (2002). Evidence of cis-acting factors in replication-

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

mediated trinucleotide repeat instability in primate cells. Nat. Genet. 31: 37-46.

- Cox R and Mirkin SM (1997). Characteristic enrichment of DNA repeats in different genomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94: 5237-5242.
- Crollius RH, Jaillon O, Dasilva C, Ozouf-Costaz C, et al. (2000). Characterization and repeat analysis of the compact genome of the fresh water Pufferfish Tetraodon nigroviridis. Genome Res. 10: 939-949.
- de Farias ST and Bonato MCM (2002). Preferred codons and amino acid couples in hyperthermophiles. Genome Biol. 3 (http://genomebiology.com/2002/3/8/preprint/0006).
- Dokholyan NV, Buldyrev SV, Havlin S and Stanley HE (2000). Distributions of dimeric tandem repeats in non-coding and coding DNA sequences. J. Theor. Biol. 202: 273-282.

Doolittle RF (1995). Of Archaea and Eo: what's in a name? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92: 2421-2423.

- Elgar G, Clark MS, Meek S, Smith S, et al. (1999). Generation and analysis of 25 Mb of genomic DNA from the Pufferfish Fugu rubripes by sequence scanning. Genome Res. 9: 960-971.
- Ellegren H (2000). Heterogeneous mutation processes in human microsatellite DNA sequences. Nat. Genet. 24: 400-402.
- Farias ST and Bonato MC (2003). Preferred amino acids and thermostability. Genet. Mol. Res. 2: 383-393. http://www.funpecrp.com.br/gmr.
- Fitz-Gibbon ST, Ladner H, Kim U, Stetter KO, et al. (2002). Genome sequence of the hyperthermophilic crenarchaeon Pyrobaculum aerophilum. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99: 984-989.
- Gentles AJ and Karlin S (2001). Genome-scale compositional comparisons in eukaryotes. Genome Res. 11: 540-546.
- Godde JS and Wolffe AP (1996). Nucleosome assembly on CTG triplet repeats. J. Biol. Chem. 271: 15222-15229.
- Grayling RA, Bailey KA and Reeve JN (1997). DNA binding and nuclease protection by the HMf histones from the hyperthermophilic archaeon Methanothermus fervidus. Extremophiles 1: 79-88.
- Green H and Wang N (1994). Codon reiteration and evolution of proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91: 4298-4302.
- Gromiha MM, Thomas S and Santhosh C (2002). Role of cation-pi interactions to the stability of thermophilic proteins. Prep. Biochem. Biotechnol. 32: 355-362.
- Gur-Arie R, Cohen CJ, Eitan Y, Shelef L, et al. (2000). Simple sequence repeats in Escherichia coli: abundance distribution composition and polymorphism. Genome Res. 10: 62-71.
- Hancock JM (1996a). Simple sequences and the expanding genome. Bioessays 18: 421-425.
- Hancock JM (1996b). Simple sequences in a "minimal" genome. *Nat. Genet.* 14: 14-15. Hancock JM (2002). Genome size and accumulation of simple sequence repeats: implications of new data from genome sequencing projects. Genetica 115: 93-103.
- Hancock JM and Santibanez-Koref MF (1998). Trinucleotide expansion diseases in the context of microand minisatellite evolution. EMBO J. 17: 5521-5524.
- Harr B, Zangerl B, Brem G and Schlotterer C (1998). Conservation of locus specific microsatellite variability across species' a comparison of two Drosophila sibling species D. melanogaster and D. simulans. Mol. Biol. Evol. 15: 176-184.
- Harr B, Zangerl B and Schlotterer C (2000). Removal of microsatellite interruptions by DNA replication slippage: phylogenetic evidence from Drosophila. Mol. Biol. Evol. 17: 1001-1009.
- Harr B, Todorova J and Schlotterer C (2002). Mismatch repair-driven bias in D. melanogaster. Mol. Cell. 10:199-205.
- Hartenstine MJ, Goodman MF and Petruska J (2000). Base stacking and even/odd behavior of hairpin loops in DNA triplet repeat slippage and expansion with DNA polymerase. J. Biol. Chem. 275: 18382-18390.
- Hashem VI, Rosche WA and Sinden RR (2002). Genetic assays for measuring rates of (CAG)•(CTG) repeat instability in Escherichia coli. Mutat. Res. 502: 25-37.
- Hickey A and Singer GAC (2004). Genomic and proteomic adaptations to growth at high temperature. Genome Biol. 5: 117.1-117.7.
- Ireland MJ, Reinkea SS and Livingston DM (2000). The impact of lagging strand replication mutations on the stability of CAG repeat tracts in yeast. Genetics 155: 1657-1665.
- Jakupciak JP and Wells RD (2000). Gene conversion (recombination) mediates expansions of CTG•CAG repeats. J. Biol. Chem. 275: 40003-40013.
- Karlin S, Mrazek J and Campbell AM (1997). Compositional biases of bacterial genomes and evolutionary implications. J. Bacteriol. 179: 3899-3913.
- Katti MV, Ranjekar PK and Gupta VS (2001). Differential distribution of simple sequence repeats in eukaryotic genome sequences. Mol. Biol. Evol. 18: 1161-1167.

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

- Kennedy SP, Ng WV, Salzberg SL, Hood L, et al. (2001). Understanding the adaptation of *Halobacterium* species NRC-1 to its extreme environment through computational analysis of its genome sequence. *Genome Res.* 11: 1641-1650.
- Lerat E, Capy P and Biemont C (2002). The relative abundance of dinucleotides in transposable elements in five species. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 19: 964-967.
- Li FY, Leibiger B, Leibiger and I and Larsson C (2002). Characterization of a putative murine mitochondrial transporter homology of hMRS3/4. *Mamm. Genome* 13: 20-23.
- Li Y, Korol AB, Fahima T and Nevo E (2004). Microsatellites within genes: structure, function and evolution. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 21: 991-1007.
- Lim S, Notley-McRobba L, Lim M and Carter DA (2004). A comparison of the nature and abundance of microsatellites in 14 fungal genomes. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 41: 1025-1036.
- Makarova KS and Koonin EV (2003). Comparative genomics of Archaea: how much have we learned in six years and what's next? *Genome Biol.* 4: 115.1-115.17.
- Marcotte EM, Pellegrini M, Yeates TO and Eisenberg D (1999). A census of protein repeats. J. Mol. Biol. 293: 151-160.
- Metzgar D, Bytof J and Wills C (2000). Selection against frameshift mutations limits microsatellite expansion in coding DNA. *Genome Res.* 10: 72-80.
- Mishima Y, Kaizu H and Kominami R (1997). Pairing of DNA fragments containing (GGA.TCC)n repeats and promotion by high mobility group protein 1 and histone H1. J. Biol. Chem. 272: 26578-26584.
- Moore H, Greenwell PW, Liu CP, Arnheim N, et al. (1999). Triplet repeats form secondary structures that escape DNA repair in yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 96: 1504-1509.
- Morel P, Reverdy C, Michel B, Ehrlich SD, et al. (1998). The role of SOS and flap processing in microsatellite instability in *Escherichia coli*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95: 10003-10008.
- Morgante M, Hanafey M and Powell W (2002). Microsatellites are preferentially associated with nonrepetitive DNA in plant genome. *Nat. Genet.* 30: 194-200.
- Morris J, Kushner SR and Ivarie R (1986). The simple repeat poly(dT-dG).poly(dC-dA) common to eukaryotes is absent from eubacteria and archaebacteria and rare in protozoans. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 3: 343-355.
- Ogura T, Okano K, Tsuchida K, Miyajima N, et al. (1994). A defective non-LTR retrotransposon is dispersed throughout the genome of the silkworm *Bombyx mori*. *Chromosoma* 103: 311-323.
- Okonogi TM, Alley SC, Reese AW, Hopkins PB, et al. (2000). Sequence-dependent dynamics in duplex DNA. *Biophys. J.* 78: 2560-2571.
- Pereira SL and Reeve JN (1998). Histones and nucleosomes in Archaea and Eukarya: a comparative analysis. *Extremophiles* 2: 141-148.
- Pereira SL, Grayling RA, Lurz R and Reeve JN (1997). Archaeal nucleosomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94: 12633-12637.
- Primmer CR and Ellegren H (1998). Patterns of molecular evolution in avian microsatellites. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 15: 997-1008.
- Primmer CR, Raudsepp T, Chowdhary BP, Moller AP, et al. (1997). Low frequency of microsatellites in the avian genome. *Genome Res.* 7: 471-482.
- Ramsay L, Macaulay M, Delgi Ivanissevich S, MacLean K, et al. (2000). A simple sequence repeat-based linkage map of barley. *Genetics* 156: 1997-2005.
- Reeve JN, Sandman K and Daniels CJ (1997). Archaeal histones, nucleosomes and transcription initiation. *Cell* 89: 999-1002.
- Rocha EPC and Blanchard A (2002). Genomic repeats, genome plasticity and the dynamics of *Mycoplasma* evolution. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30: 2031-2042.
- Rocha EPC, Danchin A and Viari A (1999). Analysis of long repeats in bacterial genomes reveals alternative evolutionary mechanisms in *Bacillus subtilis* and other competent prokaryotes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16: 1219-1230.
- Rocha EPC, Maticand I and Taddei F (2002). Over-representation of repeats in stress response genes: a strategy to increase versatility under stressful conditions? *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30: 1886-1894.
- Sandman K and Reeve JN (2000). Structure and functional relationships of archaeal and eukaryal histones and nucleosomes. *Arch. Microbiol.* 173: 165-169.
- Sandman K and Reeve JN (2001). Chromosome packaging by archaeal histones. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* 50: 75-99.
- Satyal SH, Schmidt E, Kitagawa K, Sondheimer N, et al. (2000). Polyglutamine aggregates alter protein folding homeostasis in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97: 5750-5755.
- Schlotterer C (1998). Genome evolution: are microsatellites really simple sequences? Curr. Biol. 8: R132-R134.

Schlotterer C (2000). Evolutionary dynamics of microsatellite DNA. Chromosoma 109: 365-371.

- Smith SC, Kennelly PJ and Potts M (1997). Protein-tyrosine phosphorylation in the Archaea. J. Bacteriol. 197: 2418-2420.
- Stallings RL (1995). Conservation and evolution of (CT)n/(GA)n microsatellite sequences at orthologous positions in diverse mammalian genomes. *Genomics* 25: 107-113.
- Subramanian S, Madgula VM, George R, Mishra RK, et al. (2003). Triplet repeats in human genome: distribution and their association with genes and other genomic regions. *Bioinformatics* 19: 549-552.
- Thiel T, Michalek W, Varshney K and Graner A (2003). Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of gene-derived SSR-markers in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 106:411-422.
- Toth G, Gaspari Z and Jurka J (2000). Microsatellites in different eukaryotic genomes: survey and analysis. *Genome Res.* 10: 967-981.
- Trivedi S (2003). Do microsatellites have biased associations? Nucleus 46: 61-76.
- Trivedi S (2004). Microsatellites (SSRs): puzzles within puzzles. Ind. J. Biotech. 3: 331-347.
- Varshney RK, Thiel T, Stein N, Langridge P, et al. (2002). *In silico* analysis on frequency and distribution of microsatellites in ESTs of some cereal species. *Ann. Neurol.* 52: 498-503.
- Wang Y, Rocha EP, Leung FC and Danchin A (2004). Cytosine methylation is not the major factor inducing CpG dinucleotide deficiency in bacterial genomes. J. Mol. Evol. 58: 692-700.
- Webster MT, Smith NG and Ellegren H (2002). Microsatellite evolution inferred from human-chimpanzee genomic sequence alignments. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99: 8748-8753.
- Woese CR, Kandler O and Wheelis ML (1990). Towards a natural system of organisms: proposal for the domain Archaea, Bacteria and Eucarya. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 87: 4576-4579.
- Wren JD, Forgacs E, Fondon JW 3rd, Pertsemlidis A, et al. (2000). Repeat polymorphisms within gene regions: phenotypic and evolutionary implications. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 67: 345-356.
- Young ET, Sloana JS and Riper KV (2000). Trinucleotide repeats are clustered in regulatory genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics* 154: 1053-1068.
- Zhu Y, Strassmann JE and Queller D (2000). Insertions substitutions and origin of microsatellites. *Genome Res.* 76: 227-236.
- Zlatanova J (1997). Archaeal chromatin: virtual or real? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94: 12251-12254.

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

muinpolov .T	0.0006 0.0013	0.0019	0.0025 0.0013	0.0006	0.0025	0.0006		0.0019	0.0006	0.0006		0.0006		0.0112	0.0013	ext page
mulihqobi2n .T	0.0006 0.0013	0.0019	0.0006 0.0019 0.0013		0.0013	0.0006		0.0019	0.0013				0 0006	0.0095		ued on n
iinhostot. 2	0.0004	0.0034	0.0019 0.0045 0.0041	0.0004	0.0011	0.0019	0.0007	0.0026	0.0004	0.0004	0.0007		0 0007	0.0202	0.0004	Contin
susintatios. S	0.0023	0.0023	0.001 0.0017 0.004	0.0003	5 0.0013	0.0017	0.0007	2 0.0023	5 0.002	0.0013		5 0.0003		5 0.018	0.0003	
P. horikoshii			5 0.0029 5 0.0029		0.000			0.001	0.000			0.000		0.006		
susoirul <sub>.</sub> A	0	9	0.0001	0 0.000.		6 0.001		1	0.001		0.001			0.006		
issydn A	3 0.000 8 4	5 0.000	4 9 0.001	4 0,000	6	0.000	9	0.001	4	4	6	4	80 10	5 0.004	0.001	
тіпіндолья. А	0.001	3 0.003:	2 0.000 0.0000 3 3 0.0000	0000.0	0000.0 6	9	6 0.003	6	3 0.000	6 0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0013	1 0.018		
P. torridus	0.001	0.001	1 0.003 2 0.007 0.0010	0000	0.001	0.000	0.000	1 0.003	0.001	0.000				5 0.021		
snotiups .V	7	5	0.006 2 0.004 2 0.010	5 5	0	7	5	0.006		2		v	0 0	6 0.026	2	
іэгрт. М	(3 0.000 (3 0.000 (7 0.001	3 0.002	2 4 0.003 1 0.003 0.000	0.000	3 0.000	2 0.001	1000.0 C	7 0.001	3	0.000	2	5 2 0 000	5 0 0000	6 0.012	3 0.000	
M. acetivorans	0.000 0.000 6 0.001	6 0.002	0.001 0.002 0.002	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000 2 0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	000.0 000.0 000.0	4 0.011	0.000	
M. kandleri	0.000	0.000	<u>9</u> 99	90		4	0.001	90				0000	0000	4 0.002		
sibulaqinam .M	9 <del>7</del>		8 0.000 8 0.003 -8 0.000 0.000	0.000	9	6 0.002	0	6 0.000						6 0.008	~	5
iidəzannaç .M	0.000	6 0.003	6 0.001 9 0.003 6 0.004	0.000	3 0.000	1 0.003	100.0 16	1 0.000		1		99	295	5 0.015	0.001	
тиэіндочtоtироптэнн. М	15 15 0.000	0.000	0.000 0.002 0.000 0.000	100.0 6	5 0.002	0.001	5 0.000	0.001	5	0.001	5	4 0.000	000.0 5. 8 0.000 100.0	6 0.015		
muirətəndolnH	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.004	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	5 0.010	0.00	15 15 0 075	220.0 20 2000 20		5 0.000		0.001	0.014	0.024 0.024 0.001	8 0.125		
subiglut .A			2 0.000 2 0.000 6 0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	9	2 0.000	2		ہ <u>و</u>	0 000 0004	2 0.009		
xin19q.A			0.00100.00100.00100.0000000000000000000	0.000			0.004	0.000	0.001	0.001		0.000	000.0	0.022		
îitoM	AC AG AT CG	2 Total	AAC AAG AAT ACA	ACG	ACT	AGA	AGG	ATA	ATC	ATG	CAC	CAG		3 Total	AAAC AAAG	

Archaea simple sequence repeats

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

Appendix 1. Simple sequence repeat (SSR) densities (SSR per kbp) in Archaea genomes.

759

					S.	Trive	edi									
	тијпрэюч .Т		0.0019	0.0010				0.0006	0.0006							0.0063
	mulihqobi2a.T															
	inbosot.2.	0.0019 0.0004	0.0011		0.0007						0.0011					0.0067
	susitataricus. S	0.0017	0.0007	0.001	100.0				0.0003 0.0007			c000.0				0.005
	P. horikoshii		0.0012										0.0006		0,000	0.0024
	susoirul <sub>.</sub> A		0.0005										0.0005		0.0005	0.003
	issydp.A		0.0006			0.0006									_	0.0029
	тиліндочэр .9	0.000		0.0004			0 0004							0.000	0.000	0.0035
	P. torridus	0.0019		0.0006	0700.0	0.0006				0,0006	00000					0.0063
	snoiups .V		0.002						0.002 0.002							0.006
	і92рт. М	0.0007	0.0007	0.0002	C000.0		0.0002			0.0002	0.0002	700070	0.0002			0.0049
	м. асеңілогапя	0.0005	0.001 0.0005		0.0002	0.0002	0 0002	70000.0				0.0002	0.0002		0.0003	0.0044
	M. kandleri														0000.r	9000(
	sibulnqinam .M	0.0012			0.0006											0.0018 (
	iidəsənnəj .M	0.0048	0.0018		1700.0											0.0114
	muzihqortotupomrsht .M	0.0006		0.0011	1100.0	0.0006	0.0006			0 0006	0000.0					0.0035
	mui1912pdolpH					0.0005						0.001		0.003	conn.n	0.01
led.	subiglut .A							0.0005							0.0005	0.002
1. Continu	xinn9q.A		0.0006							0.0006		0.0006			0.0012	0.0048
Appendix	îitoM	AAAT AACA	AAGA AAGG	AAGT	AATT	ACCT	AGAC	AGGA	AGTA ATAC	ATAG	ATTA	CAGC	CATC	CCGC		4 Total

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

760 Continued on next page

	Archaea simple sequence repeats												
	muinpolov .T	0.0013	0.0019	0.0019	0.0006		lext page						
	mulihqobiən .T			0.0006	0.0006	0.0006	ed on r						
	inbosot. 2.	0.0004 0.0026 0.0022 0.0022	0.0007	0.0004	0.0004	-	0.0004 Continu						
	susitatiaricus. S	0.0013 0.0003 0.001	0.0003	0.0007 0.0003	0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003	0.0003 0.0007 0.0007							
	P. horikoshii	0.0006	0.0006	0.0006	0.0006	0.0006 0.0006 0.0012	0.0000						
	susoirul <sub>:</sub> A	0.0005 0.001 0.0005 0.0005	0.0005	0.001	0.0005	0.0005							
	issydn A	0.0011			0.0006								
	mulinqorsa. A		0.0004	0.0004	0.0004		0.0004						
	P. torridus		0.0006		0.0013								
	santiups .V	0.0041			0.002								
	і9211т. М	0.0005 0.0007 0.0007 0.0002 0.0002	0.0005	0.0005	0.0002	0.0002 0.0002 0.0007	0.0002						
	Μ. αςetivorans	0.0002 0.0005 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003	0.0002	0.0005 0.0003	0.0002								
	M. kandleri					0.0006							
	sibuloqinom .M	0.0006	0.0012 0.0006 0.0012	0.0006	0.0012								
	iidəzannaç.M	0.003 0.0048 0.0006	0.0024 0.0006 0.0018 0.0018		0.0006	0.0006							
	muzihqortotupomrshf. M	0.0006				0.0006							
	muirətəndolnH				0.0005								
ued.	subiglut.A				0.0005	0.0005							
. Contin	xinn9q.A					0.0006							
Appendix 1	îitoM	AAAAC AAAAG AAAAT AAAGA AAAGA AAAGG	AAATA AAATG AAATT AACTT	AAGAA AAGAG AAGAG	AAGGA AAGTA AATAA AATAT AATAT AATGA AATTA	AATTC ACACC ACCTC ACTTA ACTTA AGTTC	AGAGA						

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

762

Archaea simple sequence repeats

тилагооч Л			0.0007		0.0007	0.0044			0.0014	0.0044
mulihqobisa.T.		0.0051			0.0007	0.0051			0.0007	0.0102
inbostot. 2.			0.0004		0.0022	0.0067			0.0026	0.0067
susinantlos .2					0.0008	0.0106			0.0008	0.0106
iinkoshiron A										
susoirut A										
issyda. A			0.0006						0.0006	
тіпіпар. А			0.0015		0.0005	0.0122		0.0041	0.0020	0.0163
P. torridus					0.0007	0.0080			0.0007	0.0080
supinps. <sup>N</sup>										
іэлат.М		0.0020	0.0006	0.0010		0.0040			0.0006	0.0070
M. ตะค์ทั่งงาลกร	0.0002	0.0007	0.0002	0.0007	0.0007	0.0047			0.0011	0.0061
M. kandleri					0.0007				0.0007	
N. maripaludis										
iidəzannas M. jannaschii			0.0007		0.0007	0.0162			0.0014	0.0162
тиэіндотогиротоян .М						0.0064				0.0064
muirətəcədolnH	0.0006		0.0006				0.0033		0.0045	
subiglut.A										
A. pernix										
Position	С	Z	U	Z	U	Z	U	Z	U	Z
îtioM	AC		AG		AT		CG		2 Total	

Appendix 2. Dinucleotide repeat densities (SSR per kbp) in coding and non-coding sequences.

C = coding sequences; N = non-coding sequences; 2 Total = total dinucleotide repeats; kbp = kilo base pairs. Blank cells indicate zero value, as that particular motif is not found.

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

	1. VOICUMMIN	)29	007 144		207	)22 )44	007			)22	207	200
	uninpolou T	)7 22 0.00	)7 0.00 51 0.00		0.0	15 0.00	0.00			0.00	5 0.00	0.0
	тиііндорігь. Т	0.000	0.000			0.001	0.000			0.000	0.001	
	S. tokodaii	0.0022 0.0040 0.0067	0.0036 0.0067	0.0004	0.0004	0.0009	0.0013	0.0004	0.000	0.0022 0.0045	0.0004	0.0022
	susitataricus. S	0.0008 0.0021 0.0012 0.0042	0.0024 0.0106	0.0008	0.0004	0.0016	0.0020	0.0004 0.0021	0.0008	0.0020 0.0042	0.0020 0.0021	0.0008 0.0042
	P. horikoshii	0.0031		0.0006		0.0006				0.0012	0.0006	
	susoirul <sub>.</sub> A	0.0006	0.0070 0.0006	0.0006			0.0011				0.0011	
	issydp.A	0.0018		0.0006			0.0006			0.0012		
	mulinqorsa. A	0.0005	0.005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0010			0.0040		0.0005	0.0005
ces.	P. torridus	0.0035	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0056 \\ 0.0239 \\ 0.0014 \end{array}$	0.0007		0.0021	0.0007		0.0080	0.0035	0.0014	0.0007
sequen	santiups .V	0.0066	0.0110							0.0824		
n-coding	i92111 .M	0.0029 0.0040	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0016\\ 0.0069\\ 0.0003\end{array}$	0.0003	0.0006	0.0003	0.0016 0.0020	0.0010 0.0010	0.0006	0.0010 0.0010		0.0003
and nor	М. асенічогапя	0.0016 0.0026 0.0020	0.0019 0.0027		0.0007	0.0005	0.0014 0.0007	0.0007	0.0007	0.0027	0.0005	
coding	M. kandleri								0.0013			
r kbp) in	sibulaqinam .M	0.0007 0.0033	0.0059 0.0007		0.0007		0.0027		-	0.0059		
SSR per	üdəsənnəl .M	0.0020 0.0034	0.0034 0.0162		0.0007	0.0007	0.0041	0.0007		0.0054		
ensities (	muəidqortotupomrəht .M	0.0006 0.0031	0.0006	0.0013		0.0025	0.0013	0.0006	0.0006	0.0013		0.0013
repeat de	muirst2adolaH		0.0006	0.0067 0.0045	0.0112	0.0006		0.0390 0.0091	0.0011 0.0045		0.0006	
leotide	subiglut .A	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0005		0.0005	0.0005	0.0005		0.0056	
. Trinuc	xinnəq .A	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0007 \\ 0.0053 \\ 0.0014 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0007\\ 0.0053\\ 0.0007\end{array}$	0.0007				0.0007	0.0041	0.0007	0.0014	0.0014
ndix 3	Position	υΖυΖ	υzυ;	zυz	υz	ζUΖ	υz	υz	υz	υz	υz	СХ
Appe	îtioM	AAC AAG	AAT ACA	ACC	ACG	ACT	AGA	AGC	AGG	ATA	ATC	ATG

764 Continued on next page

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

0.0007 

 0.0214
 0.0100
 0.1373
 0.0150
 0.0081
 0.0027
 0.0114
 0.0220
 0.0196
 0.0211
 0.0042
 0.0067
 0.0067
 0.0185
 0.0087
 0.0115

 0.0318
 0.0056
 0.0679
 0.0118
 0.0095
 0.0149
 0.0824
 0.0319
 0.0082
 0.0070
 0.0253
 0.0153
 0.0088

 типпоэбоч Л 0.0000 0.0000 mulihqobi2p.T 0.0009 S. tokodaii 0.0006 0.0004 susinotatios. S kbp = kilo base pairs; C = coding sequences; N = non-coding sequences; 3 Total = total trinucleotide repeats. Blank cells indicate zero value. Р. һогікоshіі 0.0006 susoinul .4 issydb A 0.00100.0005 0.00460.00200.0035 0.0041mulihqorsa. A P. torridus snotiups .V 0.00030.0007 0.0005 0.0006 іэзьт .М 0.0007 0.0007 0.00070.0002 м. асейчогаль 0.0007ілэірпья .М N. maripaludis M. jannaschii 0.0340 0.0006 0.0181 0.0245 0.0006 0.0272 0.0061 0.0050 0.0011 0.0019 0.0162 0.0006 musinqovtotubomvshi.M 0.0017 muirsteacherrightsubiglut.A 0.0106 0.0007 0.0014 0.0053 0.0007 xinn9q.A υzυ zυ υzυ C Position z z z 3 Total CAG CGC CAC CCG CTC litoM

Appendix 3. Continued.

Archaea simple sequence repeats

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

			e L	S. Trivedi								766
	muintaolov .T	0.0015		0.0022			0.0133					ext page
	mulidqobi2a.T											u no ba
	inbosot.2	0.0004	0.0013 0.0013 0.0022	0.0022 0.0013			4000.0	0.0022				Continue
	susitatiaricus. S	0.0021	0.0106	0.0008			0.0021					
	iintsohiron .I			0.0012								
	susoirul <sub>.</sub> A			0.0006	0.0006							
	issyda A	0.0018			0.0006			0.0006				
	тліпдочья. Я		0.0005			0.0041					0.0005	
suces.	P. torridus		0.0239			0.0007	0.0319		0.0007			
np seque	snotiups .V			0.0022								
on-codir	іэгрт .М	0.0003	0.0003 0.0020	0.0010	0.0006	0.0010	0.0010			0.0003		
ig and ne	M. acetivorans	0.0005	0.0005 0.0007	0.0012	0.0007			0.0007 0.0002	0.0002		0.0002	
in codir	irəlban. Kandleri											
per kbp)	sibuloqinom .M		0.0007 0.0059				0.0007					
s (SSR J	ühəzənnəj .M	0.0020	0.0020 0.0162	0.0020		2000.0	0.0162					
densitie	тиэілдотоацьотояны.М		0.0064				0.0128		0.0006		0.0064	
e repeat	muirətəndolnH								0.0006			
ucleotid	subiglut .A											
I. Tetran	ximəq .A				0.0007							
dix 4	Position	UZUZ	ι υ z u	) z u z	: U 2	ZUZU	JZC	ZUZ	zυz	ς Ο ;	ΖUΖ	
Appen	îtoM	AAAC AAAG	AAAT	AAGA	AAGG	AAGT	AATA	ACCT	ACGG	AGAC	AGCC	

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br



Continued on next page **<u>192</u>** 

Append	ix 4. C	Continue	.p																	
îitoM	Position	xin19q.A	subiglut .A	muirətəndolnH	muzihqortotupomrsht .M	iidəsənnəj .M	sibuloqinom .M	M. kandleri	м. асенічогапя	іэхот .М	snotiups .V	P. torridus	тиліндочэр .9	issydn A	susoirul <sub>.</sub> A	P. horikoshii	susitataricus. S	S. tokodaii	mulidqobi2p.T	тиіпаэіоч .Т
CTTC	υz													•	0.0006	0.0006				
4 Total	0 0 V C	).0049 0 ).0053	).0015 0. 0.	.0096 0. .0135 0.	0012 0	.0074 0 .0324 0	.0014 0 .0059	0007 0	.0043 0 .0042 0	).0034 ( ).0110	0.0044 0	0.0021 (0.0558 (	).0030 (	0030	0.0036	0.0024 (	0.0024	0.0043 0.0155		0.0051
kbp = kil	lo base	e pairs; C	C = codin	ig sequer	ices; N :	= non-co	ding seq	uences;	4 Total	= total to	etranucle	otide rel	peats. Bl	ank cells	s indicat	e zero vi	alue.			

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

768

	P. dbyssi P. horikoshii S. solfataricus S. tokodaii S. tokodaii T. acidophilum	0.0006	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0011 & 0.0006 & 0.0008 & 0.0022 \\ 0.0000 & 0.0042 & 0.0045 \end{array}$	0.0004 0.0022	0.0006 0.0008 0.0008 0.0008	0.0006 0.0004				0.0006 0.0004 0.0004 0.0070 0.0022		0.0011 0.0008	0.0004 0.0004 0.0007	0.0006 0.0006
	mulinqorsa. A		0	) )						0041			.0005	
	P. torridus							0.0007		0	)		0	
ences.	snotiups . <sup>V</sup>	0.0044		0.0022				•						
ing seque	іэгрт М	0.0003	0.0003	0.0030	0.0003		0.0010	0.0020				0.0010	0,000,0	
non-cod	гарчочара. М	0.0002	0.0014	0.0002	0 0013	0.0002	0.0002	0.0007		0.0007			0.0020	
ig and	M. kandleri													
) in codir	sibulaqinam .M		0.0007	0.0020				0.0007 0.0059	0.0007	0.0013			0.0007	
per kbp)	iidəzannai. M		0.0034	0.0020	0.0007			0.0216		0.0014 0.0054	0.0007			
es (SSR	тиэіндотоционтэні .М		0.0006											
densiti	muirətəndolnH													
le repeat	subiglut .A													
tanucleotic	xim9q.A													
<b>ξ</b> 5. Pent	Position	υz	zυz	υz	υz	zυz	υz	υz	υz	υz	: 0 ;	ZUZ	zυz	ZUZ
Appendix	îioM	AAAAC	AAAAG	AAAAT	AAAGA	AAAGG	AAAGT	AAATA	AAATG	AAATT	AACTT	AAGAA	AAGAG	AAGAT

Archaea simple sequence repeats

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

Continued on next page 692

					S. 1	rived	i								77
	mulidqobi2p.T					10051	10000						0.0007		
	. гокодай				0.0004			0.0022					0		
	susiratatas. 2	0.0004	10000	1200.0	0,0001	0.0004		0.0004				0.0008	0.0004	1700.0	
	іінголіковій		0.0006								0.0006	0.0006	0.0012	0.0006	
	susoirut A	0.0006								0.0006			0.0011		
	issyda A														
	тліндольр. Я							0.0041							
	P. torridus				0.0014										
	snotiups . <sup>V</sup>			0.0022											
	і920т.М			0.0003				0.0020	0.0010		0.0003		0.0006	0.0003	
	м. асейvorans				0.0002										
	. Капаlеri												0.0007		
	sibuloqinom .M			0.0007	6000.0										
	iidəsənnəj .M												0.0007		
	тиэілдочгогиротэлі .М								0.0006						
	muirətəndolnH				0.0015	0.0040									
	subiglut.A			0,0056	0000.0					0.0005					
.ed.	A. pernix														
Continu	Position	C	zuz	zuz			zυ;	zυ	zuz	zuz	zuz	zuz	zuz	zuz	
Appendix 5.	îitoM	AAGGA	AAGTA	AATAA	AATAT	AATGA	AATTA	AATTC	ACACC	ACCTC	ACTTA	ACTTC	AGAAG	AGAAT	

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

Continued on next page **02** 



Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

Continued on next page

Appendix	5. Cont	inued.															
îitoM	nottizoq	A. fulgidus	muirostadolaH	тиэіндочюциротчэні .М	มีกวรอกกอ <sub>ไ</sub> .M	sibulaqinam .M	M. kandlevi	гиргогіягы. М	i920m .M	snotiups .V	P. torridus	mulinqorsa .q	issyda A	r. Jurtosus P. horikoshii	susitatios. S	inbostot. 2.	mulidqobi2n .T
CAATC	יט				0.0007										0.000	4 0.0004	
CCGGC	zυz	0.0014	0.0011	0.0006													
CCTTC	ZUZ	0.00	)05		0.0007							0.0	)006				
CTTTC	zuz	0.0007					0	0.0002 0.	0003								
5 Total	υz	0.0042 $0.000.00$	)40 0.0062 )56 0.0135	0.0048 0.0128	0.0145 (0.0594 (	0.0089 0 0.0295 0	.0021 0 .0053 0	0.0034 0.0.0168 0.0	.0036 0.( .0210	088 0.0	0077 0.0 0.0	0025 0.0 0082 0.0	)024 0.0 )080 0.0	069 0.00 140 0.00	178 0.008 <sup>2</sup> 183 0.021(	4 0.0088 ) 0.0266	0.0021 0.0051
kbp = kilo	base pai	rs; $C = coding s$	sequences;	N = non-c	coding see	quences;	5 Total =	= total pe	antanucleo	otide rept	eats. Bla	nk cells	indicate ¿	ı zero val	ue.		

Genetics and Molecular Research 5 (4): 741-772 (2006) www.funpecrp.com.br

772

S. Trivedi